

APPLICATION NO: 13/00614/FUL	OFFICER: Miss Michelle Payne
DATE REGISTERED: 22nd April 2013	DATE OF EXPIRY : 17th June 2013
WARD: College	PARISH: NONE
APPLICANT:	Dr Louise Jelly
LOCATION:	111 Old Bath Road, Cheltenham
PROPOSAL:	Split level single storey rear extension

ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATION

Faithfull House
Suffolk Square
Cheltenham
Gloucestershire
GL50 2DT

Comments: 13th June 2013
Letter attached.

**Faithfull House, Suffolk Square, Cheltenham,
Gloucestershire GL50 2DT**



Cheltenham Borough Council

7 June 2013

Miss Michelle Payne, Planning Officer
Cheltenham Borough Council
POB 12 Municipal Offices
The Promenade GL50 1PP

RECD	10 JUN 2013		
Date of Receipt		Type of Response	
Initial Assessment		File Ref	

Dear Miss Payne

Ref. No. 13/00614/FUL

**Re: Proposal: Split level single storey rear extension at 111 Old Bath Road, Cheltenham
GL53 7DE**

My position is a nearly 50 year friendship with the [redacted] family at 109.

From my semi independent position I see a difference between two ladies that have recently become neighbours.

The new neighbour has acquired 111 which she wishes to extend and develop it to be what she perceives to be the house of her dreams.

The owner of 109 has spent nearly 50 years making minor changes to the family home and is now, due to her health condition, wishing to relax and enjoy it with her family.

The proposed plans appear to provide significant benefit to the new neighbour to the loss of benefit of the long-term neighbour.

I think David Cameron said in his initiative regarding making planning easier that neighbourly relationships should not suffer.

How can the lady ([redacted]) at 109 who may be the loser be compensated? Perhaps her minor modification proposal should be approved.

Yours sincerely,

